CapMetro

Contracted Bus Operations and Maintenance Services

Overview

- 1. Role of CapMetro and Service Provider
- 2. Procurement Process Overview
- 3. Proposers
- 4. Evaluation Factors
- 5. Recommended Firm

Role of CapMetro and Service Provider

CapMetro Responsibilities

Ultimately responsible for the successful operation of CapMetro services, on behalf of customers

- Driving direction and solutions to enhance or improve service to service provider
- Reimburse service provider for employees and support functions
- Manage allocation of resources towards service (i.e. run dispatch, complete service planning, provide parts, provide uniforms, purchase vehicles /equip.)
- Training
- Recommend candidates for hire
- Implement the agreed-upon service contract (i.e., invoicing, contract administration, etc.)

Service Provider Responsibilities

Ultimately responsible for managing the workforce, safety, and service reliability

- Drive and maintain the buses and the facilities necessary to provide service
- Monitor service with proactive leadership and schedule adherence
- Effectively mitigate service impacts and service disruptions.
- Negotiate Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) and maintain relationship with ATU
- Manage personnel topics including hiring, termination and discipline, in line with CBA
- Implement the agreed-upon service contract (i.e., billing, contract administration, etc.)

Bargaining and Other Contractor Employees

| Current Bargaining Employees – 1,212 | Operations, Safety and General Management - 36 |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Bus Operators: 900 | GM/VP1 |
| Road Supervisors: 21 | Ops Mgr/AGM 2 |
| Run Dispatcher: 13 | Safety Manager 1 |
| Vehicle Maintenance: 247 | Safety 6 |
| Building Maintenance: 30 | Maintenance Manager 2 |
| Trainer: 1 | Human Resources 8 |
| | Labor Relations 4 |
| | Payroll/Finance 7 |
| | Technology/Data Specialists 3 |
| | Administrative Staff 2 |

Procurement Process Overview

| | Mar 23 | Mar 23 | Apr 23 | May 23 | May 23 | June 23 | July 23 | Aug 23 | Aug 23 | Aug 23 | Sept 23 | Sept 23 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Scope development | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expression of Interest (EOI) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scope finalization | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Request for Proposals (RFP) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Site Visits to 2910 & NOPS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pre-proposal Conference | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Q&A (2 rounds) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proposals Received | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Initial Technical Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Initial Price Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Site Visits to Proposers/Clients | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oral Interviews | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Final Proposal Revisions (FPR) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FPR Technical Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FPR Price Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Team Consensus | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Board Award | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Condensed timeline

- Expression of Interest (EOI) issued and received in March
- Request for Proposals(RFP) issued in May
- RFP received in July
- Oral Interviews in August
- FPR received August
- Team Consensus September
- Board Award September

3 Proposals Received

Keolis

- Founded in 1908 in France; incorporated in USA in 2012
- 300 clients in 15 countries USA: 11 sites in 5 states
- North American headquarters in Boston, MA

MTM

- American-based company, founded in 2009
- 34 clients in 16 states
- Headquarters in St. Louis, MO

MV

- American-based company, founded in 1975
- 153 clients in 26 states
- Headquarters in Dallas, TX







Evaluation Factors

Management Competence and Staffing Plan

Quality of Service Delivery, Safety and Performance Monitoring

A Capability, Experience and Past Performance of the Firm

Quality of Service Delivery, Safety and Performance Monitoring

Quality of Service Delivery, Safety and Performance Monitoring

Final Score Sheet

| OFFEROR | Overall | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Keolis Transit Services, LLC | Α | | | | |
| MTM Transit, LLC | М | | | | |
| MV Transportation, Inc. | М | | | | |

Rubric

E = Excellent: Exceeds evaluation standard in a beneficial way to the Authority, and has a high probability of satisfying the requirements in the scope of services; has no significant weaknesses

A = Acceptable: Meets evaluation standards; has good probability of satisfying the requirements in the scope of services, any weaknesses can be readily corrected

M = Marginal: Fails to meet evaluation standards; has low probability of satisfying the requirements in the scope of services; has significant deficiencies.

U = Unacceptable: Fails to meet minimum requirements in the scope of services; deficiency requires a major revision to the submittal to make it acceptable.

Final Pricing

RFP 802071 - Contracted Bus Operations & Maintenance Services
FPR Grand Total for 5 years



Recommended Firm - Keolis

- Proposed leadership team has strong transit experience (most with 10
 - 40 years), Experience with electric fleet and BRT service start up
- Will provide 40 corporate visits annually
- Dedicated Labor Relations team to work with the Union
- Demonstrated a good understanding of the CapMetro's hybrid business model
- Detailed mobilization plan and transition team
- Good client ratings of previous experience with similar contracts at other transit agencies

Recommended Firm - Keolis

- People first approach / employee-facing programs
- Promise of continuous support and continuous improvement
- Committed to community engagement
- The "Future of Transit" model

CapMetro

Thank you